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**This thesis tests the applicability of the OLI (Ownership-Location-Internalisation), and the LLL (Linkage-Leverage-Learning) frameworks in the case of Indian multinational enterprises’ (MNEs) internationalisation through foreign acquisitions. It contributes to the academic debate about the need for new theories that explain the internationalisation of MNEs from emerging economies (EMNEs) by ascertaining which aspects of the theoretical explanations are valid, and which are not (Cuervo-Cazurra, 2012; Mathews, 2006; Ramamurti, 2012). In this process, it answers some intriguing questions presented in the literature, such as do EMNEs possess ownership advantages? And, if so, what are the sources of their ownership advantages?**

It also reconciles the extreme views of internationalisation, i.e., asset exploitation view, and asset augmentation view, embedded within the Ownership-Location-Internalisation and the Linkage-Leverage-Learning frameworks, respectively, by exploring complementarities between the frameworks. In practice, MNEs are not ruled by frameworks. MNEs exploit their ownership advantages, and also form linkages (networks) to augment strategic assets. Thus, asset exploitation and augmentation strategies occur simultaneously, and the real research challenge is to explore how MNEs dovetail these strategies. To discover which aspects of the frameworks complement, and which compete, various interactions between tenets of both frameworks, at both country and firm level, were formulated.

Results suggest that the frameworks are complementary, and that they explain different facets of internationalisation (Dunning, 2006; Mathews, 2006; Narula, 2006). Indian MNEs possess ownership advantages, which are exploited by combining them with resources acquired from foreign networks. However, foreign networks may not always be favourable, particularly where resources obtained from foreign networks are incompatible with existing assets. The findings are presented in four papers, which are summarised below. Table 1 shows the research design and the structure of the thesis.

**Table 1: Research Design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units of Analysis</th>
<th>Internationalisation Frameworks</th>
<th>Complementarity between</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country Level</td>
<td>OLI: Country-Level Determinants</td>
<td>Paper 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LLL: Country-Level Determinants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm Level</td>
<td>OLI: Firm-Level Determinants</td>
<td>Paper 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LLL: Firm-Level Determinants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Paper 1**

Home country effects are found to be significant in determining cross-border acquisitions undertaken by Indian MNEs. Specifically, home institutions and market structures contribute to country specific advantages. Higher stock valuations in the Indian capital market lower the cost of capital and provide funds for acquisitions. This effect is further strengthened by the appreciation of the Indian Rupee against the US dollar, and the liberalisation of outward FDI policy which removed the absolute cap on outward investment and enabled Indian companies to undertake more and larger foreign acquisitions.

In addition to the above economic factors, proficiency in the English language assisted Indian MNEs to invest in English speaking countries, particularly the UK and the USA, where they have sought market and strategic assets, such as brands and technology. Further, the experience of operating in a risky political environment at home has made Indian MNEs resilient towards political risk. This is a country specific advantage which has empowered Indian MNEs to invest in developing economies of Asia, Africa and South America to seek natural resources.

The paper also tests the impact of country specific linkages on the internationalisation of Indian MNEs. Different types of associations, such as economic–political alliances and trade linkages between nations were used to measure country specific linkages. North–South types of country alliances, such as the Commonwealth, and trade linkages provided additional explanatory power (Buckley, Enderwick, Forsans and Munjal, 2013).

**Paper 2**

Indian MNEs’ foreign trade and investment linkages were also found significant. This has not only given them exposure and experience of foreign markets but has also helped them identify foreign acquisition
opportunities. Furthermore, resources acquired through foreign linkages have helped Indian MNEs build competitive advantages for internationalisation and overcome resource deficiencies. More specifically, Indian MNEs in technologically intensive industries, such as automobiles, pharmaceuticals, renewable energy, software and telecommunications, have augmented foreign knowledge-based resources to enhance their competitive advantages.

The asset exploitation hypothesis was examined to explore what kinds of firm specific advantages are possessed by Indian MNEs. Findings suggest that Indian MNEs possess financial reserves, which are exploited in making foreign acquisitions. It is argued that these financial resources are an outcome of imperfections in the home market. The late liberalisation of the home economy provided Indian MNEs monopolistic access to a large sheltered market for a long time. As a result, many Indian MNEs grew strongly accumulating financial reserves that can be used to undertake acquisitions to obtain resources not available at home.

This confirms previous studies that EMNEs may lack other traditional competitive advantages, such as managerial or marketing skills. Even though Indian MNEs serve a large diverse market at home, their managerial and marketing skills are not sufficient to enable them to be internationally competitive. However, Indian MNEs possess adequate technological ownership advantages in some industries that facilitate the absorption of foreign technological resources.

**Paper 3**

This paper explores complementarities amongst country-level determinants by testing interactions between home-host country distance and country specific linkages. It finds that home country linkages influence Indian MNEs' location choice and internationalisation strategy by moderating the negative effect of different kinds of distances, such as economic, cultural and geographic, between home and host countries. For example, an economic–political alliance, such as the British Commonwealth, bridges the cultural and economic distance amongst member countries through cultural and sporting events, policy similarities, and strengthening democracy and transparency. All these measures facilitate internationalisation of the MNE by reducing transaction costs associated with home-host distance (Buckley, Forsans, & Munjal, 2012).

Furthermore, results show that linkages between home and host countries complement country specific ownership advantages by adding to the overall explanatory power of country specific advantages in explaining foreign acquisitions undertaken by Indian MNEs.
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